

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: ASBESTOS PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION (NO. VI)

FILED
ASHEVILLE, NC
JUN - 1 2012
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NC

1:09cv167

MDL No. 875

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL REMAND ORDER

The transferee court in this litigation has, in the actions on this conditional remand order: (1) severed all claims for punitive or exemplary damages; and (2) advised the Panel that coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings with respect to the remaining claims have been completed and that remand to the transferor court(s), as provided in 28 U.S.C. §1407(a), is appropriate.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that all claims in the action(s) on this conditional remand order except the severed damages claims be remanded to its/their respective transferor court(s).

IT IS ALSO ORDERED that, pursuant to Rule 10.2 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the transmittal of this order to the transferee clerk for filing shall be stayed 7 days from the date of this order. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel. This order does not become effective until it is filed in the office of the Clerk for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Rule 10.4(a), the parties shall furnish the Clerk for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania with a stipulation or designation of the contents of the record to be remanded and all necessary copies of any pleadings or other matter filed so as to enable said Clerk to comply with the order of remand.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

May 29, 2012

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

A TRUE COPY CERTIFIED TO FROM THE RECORD

DATED: 5/30/12

TEST:
TOM DWYER
DEPUTY CLERK, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

**IN RE: ASBESTOS PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION (NO. VI)**

MDL No. 875

SCHEDULE FOR CRO

TRANSFEREE		TRANSFEROR				
<u>DIST DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>DIST DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>		
PAE	2	11-60006	AZ	2	94-00867	ANDERSON et al v. ACSINC et al
PAE	2	10-68122	ILC	1	99-01263	GOEKEN v. AC AND S INC et al
PAE	2	08-92034	ILC	2	94-02282	KINSER et al v. ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY et al
PAE	2	08-92066	ILC	2	96-02071	GEHRT v. ACANDS, INC. et al
PAE	2	11-66748	ILN	1	11-01954	WRIGHT v. AW CHESTERTON COMPANY et al
PAE	2	11-60071	MD	1	11-00013	CHEEK v. JOHN CRANE-HOUDAILLE, INC. et al
PAE	2	09-70150	NCW	1	09-00167	KRUMROY et al v. HOBART BROTHERS COMPANY et al
PAE	2	09-80041	NCW	1	09-00224	SUTTLE et al v. 3M COMPANY et al
PAE	2	11-63482	WI	1	11-00061	ANDERSON v. AW CHESTERTON COMPANY et al Opposed 05/25/2012
PAE	2	10-61116	WIW	3	09-00726	BUSHMAKER v. A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY et al

* – denotes that the civil action has been severed.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: ASBESTOS PRODUCTS : Consolidated Under
LIABILITY LITIGATION (No. VI) : MDL DOCKET NO. 875

FILED

KRUMROY, et al.

MAY 15 2012

v.

MICHAEL E. KUNZ, Clerk
By _____ Dep. Clerk

HOBART BROTHERS COMPANY,
et al.

Transferred from the Western
District of North Carolina,
Case No. 09-00167

E.D. PA No. 09-cv-70150

SUGGESTION OF REMAND

AND NOW, this 14th day of May, 2012, it is hereby

ORDERED that, upon review of the above captioned case under MDL-875 Administrative Order no. 18 (01-md-875, doc. no. 6197), the Court finds that, as to the above-captioned case:

- a.) Plaintiff has complied with MDL-875 Administrative Orders 12 and 12A (see the MDL 875 website's Administrative Orders page, at <http://www.paed.uscourts.gov/mdl875d.asp>).
- b.) Parties have completed their obligations under the Rule 16 order issued by the Court (see doc. no. 22).
- c.) All discovery has been completed.
- d.) The Court has adjudicated all outstanding motions, including dispositive motions. Particularly relevant rulings include:
 - i. No motions for summary judgment were filed.

e.) Rule 18 settlement discussions have been exhausted at this time as to the remaining viable defendants.

f.) The Court finds that this case is prepared for trial without delay once on the transferor court's docket, subject to any motions trial-related in limine (including Daubert challenges).

g.) The remaining viable Defendants for trial are:

- i. Honeywell International
- ii. Metropolitan Life Insurance Company

h.) Any demand for punitive damages is severed, and claims for punitive or exemplary damages are retained by the MDL-875 Court. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(b).

Accordingly, the Court **SUGGESTS** that the above-captioned case should be **REMANDED** to the United States District Court for the **Western District of North Carolina** for resolution of all matters pending within this case except punitive damages.¹

Alternatively, parties in the below-listed cases have **seven (7) days** within which to consent to a trial before an Article III

¹ The Court finds that the issue of punitive damages must be resolved at a future date with regard to the entire MDL-875 action, and therefore any claims for punitive or exemplary damages are hereby **SEVERED** from this case and retained by the MDL-875 Court in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. See In re Collins, 233 F.3d 809, 810 (3d Cir. 2000) ("It is responsible public policy to give priority to compensatory claims over exemplary punitive damage windfalls; this prudent conservation more than vindicates the Panel's decision to withhold punitive damage claims on remand."); see also In re Roberts, 178 F.3d 181 (3d Cir. 1999).

or Magistrate Judge in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. In such an event, if consent is granted, a trial will be scheduled within sixty (60) days, on a date convenient to the parties in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and the Suggestion of Remand will be vacated.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Eduardo C. Robreno, Jr.", is written over a horizontal line. The signature is fluid and cursive.

EDUARDO C. ROBRENO, JR.

SUGGESTION OF REMAND MEMORANDUM

Updated November 4, 2011

To: Transferor Judge
From: Judge Eduardo C. Robreno, Presiding Judicial Officer, MDL 875
Re: Asbestos case that has been transferred to your court

Status of the case that has been transferred from the Eastern District of Pennsylvania

This case has been transferred back to the transferor court, from the MDL 875 Court in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Cases that are remanded to transferor courts are ordinarily ready for trial, pursuant to this Court's Administrative Order No. 18 (see <http://www.paed.uscourts.gov/mdl875d.asp>).

Specific information regarding the history of a specific case while it was in the MDL 875 Court can be found in the Suggestion of Remand (above) that the MDL Court submitted to the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation in connection with its Order.

History of MDL 875, In re: Asbestos Products Liability Litigation

MDL 875, In re: Asbestos Products Liability Litigation, involves issues relating to personal injury damages caused by asbestos products. It currently consists of about 12,000 cases transferred by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, which has been transferring cases to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania since 1991. Each case typically consists of claims by multiple plaintiffs against multiple defendants. Since its inception, the litigation has involved more than 100,000 cases and up to ten million claims, including land-based and maritime claims ("MARDOC").

Beginning with Administrative Order No. 12 (see <http://www.paed.uscourts.gov/mdl875d.asp>) in 2008, the Court initiated an aggressive, pro-active policy to facilitate the processing of cases. The policy involves giving newly transferred cases scheduling orders; setting cases for settlement conferences; having motion hearings; and remanding trial-ready cases to transferor courts, or, in the alternative, holding trials in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania (if so requested by the parties).

Resources available for transferor courts on the MDL 875 website

More information about the history of MDL 875 can be found on the Eastern District of Pennsylvania's MDL 875 website at <http://www.paed.uscourts.gov/mdl875a.asp>. Additionally, all Administrative Orders issued in this litigation (including current Orders and those no longer in effect) can be found at <http://www.paed.uscourts.gov/mdl875d.asp>.

Also on the website is an Excel spreadsheet of all decisions issued by the Presiding Officer on

substantive and procedural matters since 2008 ([see <http://www.paed.uscourts.gov/mdl875n.asp>](http://www.paed.uscourts.gov/mdl875n.asp)). This spreadsheet is updated regularly, and it can be sorted by jurisdiction, case caption, subject matter, party name, etc. It is also word searchable. The MDL-875 Court intends this spreadsheet to be a helpful resource for transferor courts addressing issues similar to those already addressed by the MDL-875 Court.

Other options available to assist the Transferor Court with legal research include searchable databases created by LexisNexis and Westlaw. Directions on how to access these databases can be found on <http://www.paed.uscourts.gov/mdl875n.asp>.

Contact information for the MDL 875 Court

The MDL 875 Court is ready, willing and able to assist the transferor court with any matters relating to the transfer of the case or any substantive or procedural issues that may arise.

You may contact the Presiding Judicial Officer (Judge_Eduardo_Robreno@paed.uscourts.gov), the MDL 875 asbestos law clerk (Michele_Ventura@paed.uscourts.gov or (267) 299-7422), or the Clerk's Office ((267) 299-7012) for further assistance.

Intercircuit Assignment Committee

The Intercircuit Assignment Committee of the Judicial Conference, under the leadership of Judge J. Frederick Motz of the District of Maryland, can assist in the identification and assignment of a senior judge from another District who is ready, willing and able to preside over the trial of this case. If appropriate, please contact Judge Motz at Judge_J_Frederick_Motz@mdd.uscourts.gov or (410) 962-0782.